

Selby District

Submission Draft Core Strategy

Publication Version January 2011

Representation Form

Part A

In completing this representation form, you are providing a formal consultation response under Regulation 27 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2008 with regard to the Selby District Submission Draft Core Strategy DPD on grounds of soundness only.

Please complete separate copies of Part B (pages 3 and 4) of this form for each section, policy, table, map or diagram about which you wish to comment.

If you believe that a section, policy, paragraph, table, map or diagram is unsound with regard to more than one test of soundness please provide a separate representation for each test.

The Tests of Soundness

Soundness is explained in PPS12 (Planning Policy Statement 12) in paragraphs 4.36 - 4.47, 4.51 and 4.52 and the boxed text. Specifically paragraph 4.52 states that to be sound a Core Strategy should be:

1 Justified

PPS12 provides that to be 'justified' a DPD (in this case the 'Core Strategy') needs to be :

- founded on a robust and credible evidence base involving:
 - evidence of participation of the local community and others having a stake in the area
 - research/fact finding - the choices made in the plan are backed up by facts
- the most appropriate strategy when considered against reasonable alternatives

2 Effective

PPS12 states that Core Strategies should be effective. This means:

- Deliverable - embracing:
 - Sound infrastructure delivery planning
 - Having no regulatory or national planning barriers to delivery
 - Delivery partners who are signed up to it
 - Coherence with the strategies of neighbouring authorities
- Flexible
- Able to be monitored

3 National Policy

The DPD (in this case the 'Core Strategy') should be consistent with national policy. Where there is a departure, the Local Planning Authority (LPA) must provide clear and convincing reasoning to justify their approach.

Completed representation forms must be returned to the Council no later than 5pm on Monday 21st February 2011.

Email to: ldf@selby.gov.uk (Please save a copy to your computer prior to e-mailing your response)

Post to: LDF Team, Development Policy, Selby District Council, Civic Centre, Portholme Road, Selby YO8 4SB

Contact Details (only complete once)

Please provide contact details and agent details, if appointed.

	Personal Details	Agents Details (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	Malcolm	
Last Name	Spittle	
Job Title (where relevant)	Principal policy Officer	
Organisation	North Yorkshire County Council	
Address Line 1	County Hall	
Address Line 2	Northallerton	
Address Line 3		
County	North Yorkshire	
Postcode	DL7 8AH	
Telephone No.	01609 532428	
Email address	malcolm.spittle@northyorks.gov.uk	

You only need to complete this page once. If you wish to make more than one representation, attach additional copies of Part B (pages 3 and 4) to this part of the representation form.

It will be helpful if you can provide an email address so we can contact you electronically.

Part B (please use a separate sheet (pages 3 and 4) for each representation)

Please identify the part of the Core Strategy to which this representation refers:

Section No.	<input type="text"/>	Policy No.	<input type="text" value="CP8"/>	Paragraph No.	<input type="text"/>
Map No.	<input type="text"/>	Figure No.	<input type="text"/>	Other	<input type="text"/>

Question 1: Do you consider the DPD is:

1.1 Legally compliant Yes No

1.2 Sound Yes No

If you have entered No to 1.1, please continue to Q2. In all other circumstances, please go to Q3.

Question 2: If you consider the DPD is unsound, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to:

(Please note you should complete separate Part B (pages 3 and 4) of this form for each test of soundness the Core Strategy fails.)

- 2.1 Justified (Please identify just one test for this representation)
- 2.2 Effective
- 2.3 Consistent with national policy

Question 3: Please give details of why you consider the Core Strategy DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The County Council supports the provision in Policy CP8 for developer contributions to the provision of community services and infrastructure. This is essential to ensure that the necessary infrastructure is available to support local communities. The approach is also consistent with national policy which seeks to ensure appropriate investment by developers in community infrastructure.

Question 4: Please provide details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in Q2 where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

(Continue on a separate sheet if submitting a hard copy)

PLEASE NOTE your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination. For further information on the stages see The Planning Inspectorate website (http://www.planning-inspectorate.gov.uk/pins/appeals/local_dev/index.htm)

Question 5: Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations, or do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

5.1 Written Representations

5.2 Attend Examination

5.3 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary

(Your request will be considered by the Inspector, however, attendance at the Examination in Public is by invitation only).

Representation Submission Acknowledgement

I acknowledge that I am making a formal representation under Regulation 27 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2008. I understand that my name (and organisation where applicable) and representation will be made publically available during the public examination period of the Core Strategy in order to ensure that it is a fair and transparent process.

I agree with this statement and wish to submit the above representation for consideration.

Signed

Dated

Selby District

Submission Draft Core Strategy

Publication Version January 2011

Representation Form

Part A

In completing this representation form, you are providing a formal consultation response under Regulation 27 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2008 with regard to the Selby District Submission Draft Core Strategy DPD on grounds of soundness only.

Please complete separate copies of Part B (pages 3 and 4) of this form for each section, policy, table, map or diagram about which you wish to comment.

If you believe that a section, policy, paragraph, table, map or diagram is unsound with regard to more than one test of soundness please provide a separate representation for each test.

The Tests of Soundness

Soundness is explained in PPS12 (Planning Policy Statement 12) in paragraphs 4.36 - 4.47, 4.51 and 4.52 and the boxed text. Specifically paragraph 4.52 states that to be sound a Core Strategy should be:

1 Justified

PPS12 provides that to be 'justified' a DPD (in this case the 'Core Strategy') needs to be :

- founded on a robust and credible evidence base involving:
 - evidence of participation of the local community and others having a stake in the area
 - research/fact finding - the choices made in the plan are backed up by facts
- the most appropriate strategy when considered against reasonable alternatives

2 Effective

PPS12 states that Core Strategies should be effective. This means:

- Deliverable - embracing:
 - Sound infrastructure delivery planning
 - Having no regulatory or national planning barriers to delivery
 - Delivery partners who are signed up to it
 - Coherence with the strategies of neighbouring authorities
- Flexible
- Able to be monitored

3 National Policy

The DPD (in this case the 'Core Strategy') should be consistent with national policy. Where there is a departure, the Local Planning Authority (LPA) must provide clear and convincing reasoning to justify their approach.

Completed representation forms must be returned to the Council no later than 5pm on Monday 21st February 2011.

Email to: ldf@selby.gov.uk (Please save a copy to your computer prior to e-mailing your response)

Post to: LDF Team, Development Policy, Selby District Council, Civic Centre, Portholme Road, Selby YO8 4SB

Contact Details (only complete once)

Please provide contact details and agent details, if appointed.

	Personal Details	Agents Details (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	Malcolm	
Last Name	Spittle	
Job Title (where relevant)	Principal Policy Officer	
Organisation	North Yorkshire County Council	
Address Line 1	County Hall	
Address Line 2	Northallerton	
Address Line 3		
County	North Yorkshire	
Postcode	DL7 8AH	
Telephone No.	01609 532428	
Email address	malcolm.spittle@northyorks.gov.uk	

You only need to complete this page once. If you wish to make more than one representation, attach additional copies of Part B (pages 3 and 4) to this part of the representation form.

It will be helpful if you can provide an email address so we can contact you electronically.

Part B (please use a separate sheet (pages 3 and 4) for each representation)

Please identify the part of the Core Strategy to which this representation refers:

Section No.	<input type="text" value="4"/>	Policy No.	<input type="text"/>	Paragraph No.	<input type="text"/>
Map No.	<input type="text"/>	Figure No.	<input type="text"/>	Other	<input type="text"/>

Question 1: Do you consider the DPD is:

1.1 Legally compliant Yes No

1.2 Sound Yes No

If you have entered No to 1.1, please continue to Q2. In all other circumstances, please go to Q3.

Question 2: If you consider the DPD is unsound, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to:

(Please note you should complete separate Part B (pages 3 and 4) of this form for each test of soundness the Core Strategy fails.)

2.1 Justified (Please identify just one test for this representation)

2.2 Effective

2.3 Consistent with national policy

Question 3: Please give details of why you consider the Core Strategy DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.

From strategic planning policy perspective, the County Council supports the spatial development strategy as set out in section 4 of the Core Strategy. It is considered that it provides a sound basis for the future development of the District.

Question 4: Please provide details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in Q2 where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

(Continue on a separate sheet if submitting a hard copy)

PLEASE NOTE your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination. For further information on the stages see The Planning Inspectorate website (http://www.planning-inspectorate.gov.uk/pins/appeals/local_dev/index.htm)

Question 5: Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations, or do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

5.1 Written Representations

5.2 Attend Examination

5.3 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary

(Your request will be considered by the Inspector, however, attendance at the Examination in Public is by invitation only).

Representation Submission Acknowledgement

I acknowledge that I am making a formal representation under Regulation 27 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2008. I understand that my name (and organisation where applicable) and representation will be made publically available during the public examination period of the Core Strategy in order to ensure that it is a fair and transparent process.

I agree with this statement and wish to submit the above representation for consideration.

Signed

Dated

Selby District

Submission Draft Core Strategy

Publication Version January 2011

Representation Form

Part A

In completing this representation form, you are providing a formal consultation response under Regulation 27 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2008 with regard to the Selby District Submission Draft Core Strategy DPD on grounds of soundness only.

Please complete separate copies of Part B (pages 3 and 4) of this form for each section, policy, table, map or diagram about which you wish to comment.

If you believe that a section, policy, paragraph, table, map or diagram is unsound with regard to more than one test of soundness please provide a separate representation for each test.

The Tests of Soundness

Soundness is explained in PPS12 (Planning Policy Statement 12) in paragraphs 4.36 - 4.47, 4.51 and 4.52 and the boxed text. Specifically paragraph 4.52 states that to be sound a Core Strategy should be:

1 Justified

PPS12 provides that to be 'justified' a DPD (in this case the 'Core Strategy') needs to be :

- founded on a robust and credible evidence base involving:
 - evidence of participation of the local community and others having a stake in the area
 - research/fact finding - the choices made in the plan are backed up by facts
- the most appropriate strategy when considered against reasonable alternatives

2 Effective

PPS12 states that Core Strategies should be effective. This means:

- Deliverable - embracing:
 - Sound infrastructure delivery planning
 - Having no regulatory or national planning barriers to delivery
 - Delivery partners who are signed up to it
 - Coherence with the strategies of neighbouring authorities
- Flexible
- Able to be monitored

3 National Policy

The DPD (in this case the 'Core Strategy') should be consistent with national policy. Where there is a departure, the Local Planning Authority (LPA) must provide clear and convincing reasoning to justify their approach.

Completed representation forms must be returned to the Council no later than 5pm on Monday 21st February 2011.

Email to: ldf@selby.gov.uk (Please save a copy to your computer prior to e-mailing your response)

Post to: LDF Team, Development Policy, Selby District Council, Civic Centre, Portholme Road, Selby YO8 4SB

Contact Details (only complete once)

Please provide contact details and agent details, if appointed.

	Personal Details	Agents Details (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	Malcolm	
Last Name	Spittle	
Job Title (where relevant)	Principal Policy Officer	
Organisation	Northy Yorkshire County Council	
Address Line 1	County Hall	
Address Line 2	Northallerton	
Address Line 3		
County	North Yorkshire	
Postcode	DL7 8AH	
Telephone No.	01609 532428	
Email address	malcolm.spittle@northyorks.gov.uk	

You only need to complete this page once. If you wish to make more than one representation, attach additional copies of Part B (pages 3 and 4) to this part of the representation form.

It will be helpful if you can provide an email address so we can contact you electronically.

Part B (please use a separate sheet (pages 3 and 4) for each representation)

Please identify the part of the Core Strategy to which this representation refers:

Section No.	<input type="text"/>	Policy No.	<input type="text"/>	Paragraph No.	<input type="text" value="5.4"/>
Map No.	<input type="text"/>	Figure No.	<input type="text"/>	Other	<input type="text"/>

Question 1: Do you consider the DPD is:

1.1 Legally compliant Yes No

1.2 Sound Yes No

If you have entered No to 1.1, please continue to Q2. In all other circumstances, please go to Q3.

Question 2: If you consider the DPD is unsound, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to:

(Please note you should complete separate Part B (pages 3 and 4) of this form for each test of soundness the Core Strategy fails.)

- 2.1 Justified (Please identify just one test for this representation)
- 2.2 Effective
- 2.3 Consistent with national policy

Question 3: Please give details of why you consider the Core Strategy DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The District Council's approach to the scale of housing growth and the proposed abolition of the Regional Spatial Strategy, as outlined at the front of the Core Strategy is supported. It will enable the District Council to make progress on its Core Strategy in line with the Government's wishes by relying on soundly based work previously undertaken at the regional level. The alternative would involve further lengthy delays in re-addressing the wide range of strategic and technical issues needed to underpin the Core Strategy.

Question 4: Please provide details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in Q2 where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

(Continue on a separate sheet if submitting a hard copy)

PLEASE NOTE your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination. For further information on the stages see The Planning Inspectorate website (http://www.planning-inspectorate.gov.uk/pins/appeals/local_dev/index.htm)

Question 5: Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations, or do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

5.1 Written Representations

5.2 Attend Examination

5.3 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary

(Your request will be considered by the Inspector, however, attendance at the Examination in Public is by invitation only).

Representation Submission Acknowledgement

I acknowledge that I am making a formal representation under Regulation 27 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2008. I understand that my name (and organisation where applicable) and representation will be made publically available during the public examination period of the Core Strategy in order to ensure that it is a fair and transparent process.

I agree with this statement and wish to submit the above representation for consideration.

Signed

Dated